

WILMINGTON, DE 19805

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/757,074 01/14/2004 **BB1071 US DIV4** Anthony John Kinney 5356 23906 7590 10/21/2005 **EXAMINER** E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128** 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1638

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/757,074	KINNEY ET AL.	KINNEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elizabeth F. McElwain	1638		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUINT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in in it is apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	8 August 2005			
2a)□		This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.				
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	d/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)l	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		an received in this National	l Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
A 4 a a b 2 · · · · · · ·	v-)				
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] (_A	u Cummary /DTO 440		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/14/04</u> .	(08) 5) ☐ Notice o 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/757,074 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on August 8, 2005 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 20-21 are pending and are drawn to the elected invention.

Priority

3. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. PCT/US97/09743, filed June 10, 1997. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time

Art Unit: 1638

period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11. In the present case, amendment of both the first sentence of the specification and the ADS is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,074

Art Unit: 1638

Double Patenting

Page 4

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 14-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,703,544 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the characteristics set forth in the present claims would be inherent in the plants and seeds previously claimed and issued in U. S. Patent No. 6,703,544.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Page 5

6. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-2 of U. S. Patent No. 6,362,399 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the characteristics set forth in the present claims would be inherent in the plants and seeds previously claimed and issued in U. S. Patent No. 6,362,399.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are drawn to a transgenic soybean plant and seeds that have a quantity of one or more members of a class of soybean seed storage subunits reduced, and the oleic acid

Art Unit: 1638

relative to other fatty acids increased in the seeds when compared to a non-transformed soybean plant. However, no structural element is described that is required to confer the claimed function of reduced quantity of seed storage protein subunits and increased oleic acid. The claimed plants and seeds are only described in comparison to a non-transformed soybean having unknown characteristics. An adequate written description that distinguishes the claimed soybean plants and seeds with regard to structural and physical characteristics has not been provided.

9. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a transgenic soybean plant transformed with a nucleic acid encoding a soybean seed storage protein, does not reasonably provide enablement for any transgenic soybean having a quantity of one or more members of a class of soybean seed storage subunits reduced, and the oleic acid relative to other fatty acids increased in the seeds when compared to a non-transformed soybean plant. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification only teaches soybean plants transformed with soybean seed storage protein coding sequences to produce a transgenic soybean having a quantity of one or more members of a class of soybean seed storage subunits reduced. and the oleic acid relative to other fatty acids increased in the seeds when compared to a nontransformed soybean plant. The specification does not provide any guidance with regard to the use of other sequences or other methods to obtain a transgenic soybean having these characteristics. The specification does not exemplify the use of any other genes or methods to produce the claimed plants and seeds.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,074 Page 7

Art Unit: 1638

10. Given the unpredictability of identifying other transgenic plants having the claimed characteristics; the lack of working examples of other transgenic soybean plants transformed with other nucleic acids; the lack of guidance with regard to how to choose from the vast number of different nucleic acids that a plant could be transformed with to identify any other sequences that would produce soybean plants and seeds having the claimed characteristics; and given the breadth of the claims which encompass any transgenic soybean, wherein the nucleic acid that the soybean has been transformed with is not specified and could be any DNA sequence of any length and structure; it would require undue experimentation by one skilled in the art to practice the invention as broadly claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hinchee (U.S. Patent 5,416,011).

The claims are drawn to any transgenic soybean plant having a quantity of one or more members of a class of soybean seed storage subunits reduced, and the oleic acid relative to other fatty acids increased in the seeds when compared to a non-transformed soybean plant. The claims do not specify what the transgenic soybean plant is transformed with, so it is not possible to make a comparison with a plant that is not transformed with the same gene, and the claim does not specify this.

Hinchee teaches transgenic soybean plants. Hinchee does not mention the seed storage subunit levels or the oleic acid levels of the seeds. However, seed storage

Application/Control Number: 10/757,074 Page 8

Art Unit: 1638

subunits and oleic acids are present in all soybean seeds. The Examiner is unable to determine whether the prior art disclosure possesses the unrecited characteristics. With these conditions, where the product seems to be identical except that the prior art is silent to the characteristic or property claimed, the burden shifts to applicant to provide evidence that the prior art would neither anticipate nor render obvious the claimed invention. Note the case law of *In re Best* 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth F. McElwain, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1638